Rhombohedral graphene: spin canting, collective modes and superconductivity



Caltech

Étienne Lantagne-Hurtubise

KITP – Tunable 2D materials program

Sep 10, 2024







Acknowledgments

Experiments:

Yiran Zhang



Robert Polski Haoxin Zhou Stevan Nadj-Perge

Caitlin Patterson



Owen Sheekey Trevor Arp Ludwig Holleis Youngjoon Choi Andrea Young Theory collaborators:



Jin Ming Koh (Caltech undergrad -> Singapore A*STAR -> Harvard PhD) Zhiyu Dong Alex Thomson (UC Davis)

Jason Alicea



Rhombohedral graphene multilayers

"ABC" stacking Guinea, Castro Neto, & Peres, PRB **73**, 245426 (2006)

Perpendicular electric displacement field D opens a gap and tunes the density of states

- *In situ* tuning of doping level and correlation strength
- Extremely clean! Mean free paths ~10 μm





Flavor polarization

Symmetry breaking phase transitions between metals

d

0.5

0.4

0.1

0

-1.5

-1 n_{o} (10¹² cm⁻²)

-0.5

0 0

Trilayers: Zhou et al, Nature (2021)

0.5

 n_{o} (10¹² cm⁻²)

Four-fold degenerate band structure: valleys (K, K') + spins (\uparrow, \downarrow) Prone to symmetry breaking (Stoner-type) instabilities





Intervalley coherence

 K^{-}

Ε -150 0 150 κ (eV·A) 0.8-(mu)/) 0 0 (//ml) Sym 0.4 0.2 -0.8 -0.6 -0.2 -04 n_o (10¹² cm⁻²) Bilavers: Zhou et al, Science (2022) **e** κ (eV × $A_{u,c.}$) –40 0 40 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 (V nm-

-0.1

0

1.0

Superconductivity in rhombohedral graphene





C induced by inplane B field!

5

 \sim

Pairing mechanism?

• Order parameter fluctuations close to phase transitions

Chatterjee, Wang, Berg & Zaletel , Nat. Comm. **13**, 6013 (2022) Dong, Lee & Levitov, PNAS **120** (2023) Dong, Chubukov & Levitov, PRB **107**, 174512 (2023) Dong, Levitov & Chubukov, PRB **108**, 134503 (2023)

• Kohn-Luttinger (over-screened Coulomb interactions)

Ghazaryan, Holder, Serbyn & Berg, PRL **127**, 247001 (2021) Szabó & Roy, PRB **105**, L081407 (2022) You & Vishwanath, PRB **105**, 134524 (2022) Cea, Pantaleón, Phong, Guinea, PRB **105**, 075432 (2022) Qin, Huang, Wolf, Wei, Blinov & MacDonald, PRL **130**, 146001 (2023) Li, Kuang, Jimeno-Pozo, Sainz-Cruz, Zhan, Yuan & Guinea, PRB **108**, 045404 (2023) Lu, Wang, Chatterjee & You, PRB **106**, 155115 (2022) Dai, Ma, Zhang, Guo & Ma, PRB **107**, 245106 (2023) Wagner, Kwan, Bultinck, Simon & Parameswaran, arXiv:2302.00682 Son, Hsu & Kim, arXiv:2405.05442

And more...

• Phonon mediated

Chou, Wu, Sau & Das Sarma, PRL **127**, 187001 (2021) Chou, Wu, Sau & Das Sarma, PRB **105**, L100503 (2022) Chou, Wu, Sau & Das Sarma, PRB **106**, 024507 (2022) Vinas Bostrom, Fischer, Profe, Zhang, Kennes & Rubio, npj Computational Materials **10** (2024).

1. Enhanced superconductivity in BLG-WSe2



A surprise!

Large SC region observed at **B** = **O**

Only for D > 0: proximity to WSe_2 favors SC

Zhang, Polski, Thomson, ÉLH, et al.

Nature 613, 268-273 (2023)

Strongly **density-dependent** Pauli limit violation (singlet vs triplet like response)





Pairing strongly enhanced!

Spin-orbit coupling in BLG

Intrinsically weak (~ 50 μ eV). Can be enhanced by proximity effect

• Two main contributions: Ising and Rasha SOC

$$\frac{\lambda_I}{2}\tau_z s_z \ , \ \frac{\lambda_R}{2}(\tau_z \sigma_x s_y - \sigma_y s_x)$$

 $(\tau, \sigma, s) = (\text{valley}, \text{sublattice}, \text{spin})$

• Ising SOC couples asymmetrically with D field: "spin-orbit valve" Gmitra and Fabian, PRL (2017)

Khoo, Morpurgo and Levitov, Nano Letters (2017)

• Quantum Hall measurements:

 $\lambda_I \sim 0.6 - 1.6 \text{ meV}$





Tuning SOC with interfacial twist





Phase diagrams for different Ising SOC



Trends (large SC pocket)





These opposing trends provide non-trivial constraints for theory!

- Understand the parent normal state
- Pairing mechanism?

2. Phase diagrams of spin-orbit coupled graphene multilayers



Bilayers: Koh, Thomson, Alicea and ÉLH, arXiv:2407.09612

Jin Ming Koh

See also:

Xie & Das Sarma, PRB **107**, L201119 (2023) Zhumagulov, Kochan & Fabian, PRB **110**, 045427 (2024) Wang, Vila, Zaletel & Chatterjee, PRL **132**, 116504 (2024) Zhumagulov, Kochan & Fabian, PRL **132**, 186401 (2024)





Increasing Ising SOC

Comparing with experiments



Increasing Ising SOC

Identifying the normal state

Hartree-Fock suggests two candidate half-metal states suppressed by Ising SOC:

- Spin-canted phase: breaks U(1) spin rotations along the z-axis
- Inter-valley coherent state: breaks U(1) valley conservation

Similar ideas for IVC fluctuations

Chatterjee et al, Nature Communications (2022) You and Vishwanath, PRB (2022) Dong, Lee, Levitov, PNAS (2023) Vituri, Xiao, Pareek, Holder, Berg, arXiv:2408.10309 Low-energy collective modes (Goldstone magnons) could mediate Cooper pairing

Dong, **ÉLH** & Alicea, arXiv:2406.17036

3. Pairing from magnons?



Dong, **ÉLH** and Alicea, arXiv:2406.17036

+ Collaboration with Andrea Young's group C. Patterson, O. Sheekey, T. Arp, L. Holleis, J.M. Koh, ..., ÉLH, J. Alicea and A. Young, arXiv:2408.10190

Spin canting order

C. Patterson, O. Sheekey, T. Arp, L. Holleis, J.M. Koh, ..., ÉLH, J. Alicea and A. Young arXiv:2408.10190

Competition between Ising SOC and Hund's coupling Spin polarization in each valley

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\kappa}{2} (\mathbf{n}_K^2 + \mathbf{n}_{K'}^2) - J\mathbf{n}_K \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K'} + \frac{\lambda}{2} (n_K^z - n_{K'}^z) + \dots$$

Controls onset of Stoner ferromagnetism (Coulomb + kinetic energy) Ferromagnetic Hund's coupling arises from lattice-scale electron-electron repulsion

Two valley-balanced solutions: spin-valley locked & spin canted

Spin canting breaks continuous U(1) spin rotations -> Goldstone modes



Low-energy magnons

Goldstone mode: valley-symmetric azimuthal fluctuations

Critical mode: valley-antisymmetric polar fluctuations





Magnon mediated interactions

- Spin-flipping processes
- Qualitatively different scaling in two regimes:



$$g_{\xi_1,\xi_2}^{-+,+-}(\nu,\mathbf{q}) \sim -\frac{\cos^2\theta_0}{2z_s\kappa} \frac{1}{\nu^2 + c_s^2\mathbf{q}^2}$$
valley indices



U(1) ferromagnet

$$g_{\xi_1,\xi_2}^{-+,+-}(\nu,\mathbf{q}) \sim -\frac{1}{-i\gamma\nu + D\mathbf{q}^2}$$



Spin polarized phase, SU(2) ferromagnet

Pairing from magnons

Leading-order diagrams:



Pairing interaction (integrated over the Fermi surface):

Sign refers to majority/minority Fermi surfaces

$$\longrightarrow \overline{g_{\pm}^{(2)}}(\nu) \sim \frac{\cos \theta_0}{k_F^{\pm}} \ln \frac{|\nu|}{\Lambda}$$

• Crucially depends on SOC: vanishes in the FM limit, $\theta_0 \rightarrow \pi/2$

λι

- Low-frequency divergence: strong retardation effects
- Is enhanced for small Fermi pockets



Pairing from magnons

Our theory predicts that the normal state hosting SC should host a **spontaneously-generated**, in-plane magnetic moment

- Could be resolved in magnetic scanning probes (SQUID on tip) or with magneto-transport/compressibility
- Collaboration with Andrea Young's group on trilayers/WSe2





C. Patterson, O. Sheekey, T. Arp, L. Holleis, J.M. Koh, ..., ÉLH, J. Alicea and A. Young arXiv:2408.10190



Outlook



GORDON AND BETTY FOUNDATION

Rhombohedral graphene: exciting interplay of correlations, SOC and superconductivity deep in the clean limit

- Tunable and rich phase diagram. Control over microscopic parameters (e.g. band dispersion and induced SOC) highly constrains theory
- Multiple unconventional superconductors, possibly exotic pairing mechanisms
- How to experimentally access collective modes and probe their (spin/valley) structure?
- **Can constrain pairing mechanisms?** (e.g. gapping out magnon modes due to applied magnetic field. Analog for IVC fluctuations?)
- Investigate dynamical and/or beyond mean-field methods...

Vituri, Xiao, Pareek, Holder, Berg, arXiv:2408.10309 Wolf, Wei, Zhou, Huang, arXiv:2408.15884

c.f. discussion by Agnes Valenti last week

• Gate-defined architectures for quantum devices?



Xie, **ÉLH,** Young, Nadj-Perge & Alicea, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 146601 (2024)



Iwakiri et al., Nat. Comms. 15, 390 (2024)

Extras

SC induced by a magnetic field?

Large (> 20) violation of Pauli limit:

$$B_p = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2}\mu_B} = \frac{1.76k_B T_c}{\sqrt{2}\mu_B} \approx 40 \text{ mT}$$

Ginzburg-Landau coherence length



Electronic mean free path

 $\xi_{\rm GL} \sim 250 \ {\rm nm}$

 $l \sim 10 \ \mu \mathrm{m}$



Anderson theorem: singlet superconductors are stable against T-preserving disorder

P. W. Anderson, Theory of dirty superconductors, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. **11**, 26–30 (1959)



Spin-canted half-metal

Increasing Ising SOC

4. Gate-defined topological Josephson junctions



Xie, ÉLH, Young, Nadj-Perge & Alicea, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 146601 (2024)

Topological SC and the Kitaev model

- Cooper pairing in a 1D chain of spinless fermions can lead to topological SC with unpaired Majorana zero-modes Kitaev (2001)
- Various theoretical proposals to create effectively spinless fermions
- Proximitized spin-orbit coupled nanowires at the heart of a multi-million \$ effort! Oreg, Refael and von Oppen, PRL (2010)

Lutchyn, Sau and das Sarma, PRL (2010)



Alicea, Rep. Prog. Phys (2012)





Topological SC in planar Josephson junctions



- SOC in 2D electron gas
- Proximity-induced SC
- In-plane Zeeman field
- Phase difference

Pientka, Keselman, Berg, Yacoby, Stern & Halperin, PRX (2017) Hell, Leijnse & Flensberg, PRL (2017)



Topological SC in planar Josephson junctions

Current experiments rely on **complex heterostructures**:

- SC film (Al or NbTiN)
- 2DEG (HgTe, InAs or InSb quantum wells)

Ren *et al.,* Nature **569**, 93 (2019) Fornieri *et al.,* Nature **569**, 89 (2019) Ke *et al.,* Nat. Commun. **10**, 3764 (2019) Dartiailh *et al.,* PRL **126**, 036802 (2021) Banerjee *et al.,* PRB **107**, 245304 (2023)

Can we realize this physics in an **intrinsic** junction?





Banerjee *et al.,* PRB **107**, 245304 (2023)



Tunneling conductance at the junction ends reveals gap closing followed by "zero-bias" features

Topological SC in planar Josephson junctions

Superconductivity in BLG+WSe2 is gate tunable

- Intrinsic JJ by patterning with gates!
- Induced SOC + Zeeman field lifts the spin degeneracy
- Graphene entails an additional headache: the valley







Potential payoffs: • No material interfaces • (Almost) no orbital coupling of **B**



Optimizing the minimal topological gap

Minimal gap across the junction generically occurs for non-zero ${\rm k}_{\rm x}$

Optimal regime:

- Doping level without "polluting" Fermi pockets
- Short junction limit
- Effective SOC in low-energy bands dominated by Rashba contribution

$$\tilde{\alpha}_R = \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \beta_I^2}} \alpha_R \quad , \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{h}} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + \beta_I^2}} \boldsymbol{h}$$





Gate-defined experiments probing phase coherence

 Gate-defined Josephson junctions (~100 nm) can image Fraunhofer interference patterns

de Vries *et al.,* Nat. Nanotech. **16**, 760 (2021) Rodan-Legrain *et al.,* Nat. Nanotech. **16**, 769 (2021) Díez-Mérida *et al.,* Nat. Comm. **14**, 2396, (2023)



 Little-Parks experiment with gate-defined superconducting loops (~1 μm)

Iwakiri *et al.*, arXiv:2308.07400

